# THE MACROECONOMICS OF BIGTECH

Dan Su

#### CKGSB

2024 ASSA Annual Meeting Investment and Technological Change Session

# NEW FINANCIAL INTERMEDIARIES



- **FinTech**: digital lending facilitated by online platforms (e.g., P2P, ...)
- **BigTech/TechFin**: large tech companies lend in the credit markets (e.g., Ant Group, WeBank, ...)

# NEW FINANCIAL INTERMEDIARIES



- ▶ **FinTech**: digital lending facilitated by online platforms (e.g., P2P, ...)
- **BigTech/TechFin**: large tech companies lend in the credit markets (e.g., Ant Group, WeBank, ...)
- ► a growing empirical literature, but theoretical implications?

# Research Question: Role of BigTech in Macroeconomy

**•** Existing macro-finance literature: banks

#### **•** Existing macro-finance literature: banks

• **key characteristic**: collateral-based borrowing constraint ("financial frictions")

- **•** Existing macro-finance literature: banks
  - key characteristic: collateral-based borrowing constraint ("financial frictions")
  - implications: aggregate productivity losses; financial accelerator mechanism

- **•** Existing macro-finance literature: banks
  - **key characteristic**: collateral-based borrowing constraint ("financial frictions")
  - implications: aggregate productivity losses; financial accelerator mechanism
- ► This paper

# Research Question: Role of BigTech in Macroeconomy

- **•** Existing macro-finance literature: banks
  - **key characteristic**: collateral-based borrowing constraint ("financial frictions")
  - implications: aggregate productivity losses; financial accelerator mechanism

#### ► This paper

1. key difference between banks and BigTech in lending behaviors?

# Research Question: Role of BigTech in Macroeconomy

#### • Existing macro-finance literature: banks

- **key characteristic**: collateral-based borrowing constraint ("financial frictions")
- implications: aggregate productivity losses; financial accelerator mechanism

#### ► This paper

- 1. key difference between banks and BigTech in lending behaviors?
- 2. different implications with BigTech? (e.g., a similar financial accelerator mechanism)

#### • Existing macro-finance literature: banks

- **key characteristic**: collateral-based borrowing constraint ("financial frictions")
- implications: aggregate productivity losses; financial accelerator mechanism

#### ► This paper

- 1. key difference between banks and BigTech in lending behaviors?
- 2. different implications with BigTech? (e.g., a similar financial accelerator mechanism)

why BigTech instead of FinTech: BigTech is more bank-like (Stulz, 2019; King, 2019)

- **Banking sector: collateral**-based borrowing constraint
- **BigTech sector**: (expected-)earnings-based borrowing constraint

- Banking sector: collateral-based borrowing constraint
- **BigTech sector**: (expected-)earnings-based borrowing constraint
- Microfoundation of incomplete-collateralization contract:
  - 1. *technology story*: tech/data advantages  $\rightarrow$  reduced cost of state verification
  - 2. *intangible capital story*: intangible capital  $\rightarrow$  low liquidation value

- Banking sector: collateral-based borrowing constraint
- **BigTech sector**: (expected-)earnings-based borrowing constraint
- **•** Empirical evidence:
  - 1. Gambacorta et al. (2023): ★ local business conditions and house prices; ✔ firm-specific characteristics
  - 2. Beck et al. (2022): liquidation cost decreasing in asset tangibility

- **Banking sector: collateral**-based borrowing constraint
- **BigTech sector**: (expected-)earnings-based borrowing constraint
- Other possible difference: fast data processing ability (Fuster et al., 2019); new credit-sorting models (Gambacorta et al., 2019); different maturities (Liu, Lu and Xiong, 2022)...

# $MACROFIN \Rightarrow MACROBIGTECH$

#### • A macro model with three key elements:

- 1. heterogeneous agent model with incomplete markets
- 2. two types of borrowing constraints
- 3. defaultable debt

# $MacroFin \Rightarrow MacroBigTech$

MECHANISM

**Key feature**: **convex** relationship between (expected) productivity and wealth growth rate

- advantage: rely less on collateral, which is unrelated to *individual* productivity
- disadvantage: depend on the accuracy of predicting *individual* earnings
- expected-earnings-based borrowing constraint

# $MACROFIN \Rightarrow MACROBIGTECH$

- Main conclusions on the rise of BigTech
  - 1. efficiency-instability trade-off: smaller aggregate productivity losses but more financial instability in the steady state
  - 2. different financial accelerator: amplification and propagation of second-moment uncertainty shocks
  - 3. extensions: algorithm bias; optimal BigTech development; pricing effect; non-M.I.T. shocks

#### Related Literature

- FinTech/BigTech: Gambacorta et al. (2023); Tang (2019); Hau et al. (2018); Cornelli et al. (2023); Huang (2022); Manea, Fiore and Gambarcorta (2023); Liu, Lu and Xiong (2022)...
- Financial frictions and macroeconomy: Kiyotaki and Moore (1997); Bernanke and Gertler (1989); Brunnermeier and Sannikov (2014); Di Tella (2017); He and Krishnamurthy (2013); Fernandez-Villaverde, Hurtado and Nuno (2019); ...
- Distributional macro: Moll (2014); Fernandez-Villaverde, Hurtado and Nuno (2019); Achdou et al. (2022); ...
- Earnings-based borrowing constraint: Lian and Ma (2021); Greenwald (2019); Drechsel (2023); Drechsel and Kim (2022); ...

#### Model

- ► Infinite-horizon, continuous-time economy
- **Two types of entrepreneurs** + Homogeneous hand-to-mouth workers (S = 1 in baseline)

i 1 continuum of entrepreneurs borrowing from the banking sector B

ii S continuum of entrepreneurs borrowing from the BigTech sector F

- **Preference:**  $\mathbb{E}_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} \log c(t) dt$
- **Production function**:  $y = zk^{\alpha}l^{1-\alpha}$

**•** Stochastic productivity process:  $dz = \frac{1}{\theta} (\bar{\mu} - z) dt + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{\theta}} dW$ 

• **Expected productivity** ( $\gamma = 0$  in baseline):

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\tilde{z} + dz\right] = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta}\left[\bar{\mu} + \left(\theta - 1\right)\tilde{z}\right]}_{\text{rational expectation}} + \underbrace{\frac{\gamma\left(\tilde{z} - \bar{\mu}\right)}_{\text{algorithm bias}}$$

- $\gamma$ : degree of bias
- extrapolative expectation literature (e.g., Bordalo, Gennaioli and Shleifer, 2018)

• **Expected productivity** ( $\gamma = 0$  in baseline):

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{z} + dz] = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta} \left[ \bar{\mu} + (\theta - 1)\tilde{z} \right]}_{\text{rational expectation}} + \underbrace{\gamma \left( \tilde{z} - \bar{\mu} \right)}_{\text{algorithm bias}}$$

•  $\gamma$ : degree of bias

- extrapolative expectation literature (e.g., Bordalo, Gennaioli and Shleifer, 2018)
- **Timing**: form expectation  $\rightarrow$  borrow, rent, hire  $\rightarrow$  productivity realize  $\rightarrow$  default or not

• **Expected productivity** ( $\gamma = 0$  in baseline):

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\tilde{z} + dz\right] = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta}\left[\bar{\mu} + (\theta - 1)\tilde{z}\right]}_{\text{rational expectation}} + \underbrace{\frac{\gamma\left(\tilde{z} - \bar{\mu}\right)}_{\text{algorithm bias}}$$

- $\gamma$ : degree of bias
- extrapolative expectation literature (e.g., Bordalo, Gennaioli and Shleifer, 2018)
- **Timing**: form expectation  $\rightarrow$  borrow, rent, hire  $\rightarrow$  productivity realize  $\rightarrow$  default or not

$$\pi\left(a,z,\tilde{z}\right) = \pi\left(a,z,\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right) \equiv zk\left(a,\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)^{\alpha}l\left(a,\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)^{1-\alpha} - (r+\delta)k\left(a,\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right) - wl\left(a,\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)$$

• **Expected productivity** ( $\gamma = 0$  in baseline):

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\tilde{z} + dz\right] = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta}\left[\bar{\mu} + \left(\theta - 1\right)\tilde{z}\right]}_{\text{rational expectation}} + \underbrace{\frac{\gamma\left(\tilde{z} - \bar{\mu}\right)}_{\text{algorithm bias}}$$

- $\gamma$ : degree of bias
- extrapolative expectation literature (e.g., Bordalo, Gennaioli and Shleifer, 2018)
- **Timing**: form expectation  $\rightarrow$  borrow, rent, hire  $\rightarrow$  productivity realize  $\rightarrow$  default or not

$$\pi\left(a, \mathbf{z}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\right) = \pi\left(a, \mathbf{z}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right) \equiv \mathbf{z}k\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)^{\alpha}l\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)^{1-\alpha} - (r+\delta)k\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right) - wl\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)$$

"Costless" default (in the baseline): focus on exogenous borrowing constraints

• **Expected productivity** ( $\gamma = 0$  in baseline):

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\tilde{z} + dz\right] = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta}\left[\bar{\mu} + (\theta - 1)\,\tilde{z}\right]}_{\text{rational expectation}} + \underbrace{\frac{\gamma\left(\tilde{z} - \bar{\mu}\right)}_{\text{algorithm bias}}$$

•  $\gamma$ : degree of bias

- extrapolative expectation literature (e.g., Bordalo, Gennaioli and Shleifer, 2018)
- **Timing**: form expectation  $\rightarrow$  borrow, rent, hire  $\rightarrow$  productivity realize  $\rightarrow$  default or not

$$\pi\left(a, \mathbf{z}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\right) = \pi\left(a, \mathbf{z}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right) \equiv \mathbf{z}k\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)^{\alpha}l\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)^{1-\alpha} - (r+\delta)k\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right) - wl\left(a, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right)$$

- "Costless" default (in the baseline): focus on exogenous borrowing constraints
- **State of the economy:**

$$\{\omega_F(t,a,z,\tilde{z}),\omega_B(t,a,z,\tilde{z})\}$$

#### Two Types of Borrowing Constraints

**Banking sector**: collateral-based borrowing constraint

$$k - a \le \lambda_B k \Rightarrow \frac{k \le 1}{1 - \lambda_B} a$$

#### Two Types of Borrowing Constraints

**Banking sector**: collateral-based borrowing constraint

$$k-a \leq \lambda_B k \Rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\lambda_B}a$$

**BigTech sector**: earnings-based borrowing constraint

$$k - a \leq \lambda_F \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\pi\right] \Rightarrow \frac{k}{k} \leq \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_F \left[\frac{r + \delta}{\alpha} - \zeta \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right]\right]^a}$$

where  $\zeta \equiv \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)(r+\delta)}{\alpha w}\right)^{1-\alpha}$ 

# SIMILARITY AND DIFFERENCE I

**Similarity**: corporate debt capacity depends on (expected) net worth

**debt capacity** =  $\phi \times$  **net worth** 

- ? "With cash flow-based lending and EBCs, we find that asset price feedback through firms' balance sheets could diminish significantly." (Lian and Ma, 2021)
- "This evidence implies that a greater use of big tech credit could reduce the importance of collateral in credit markets and potentially weaken the financial accelerator mechanism." (Gambacorta et al., 2023)

#### SIMILARITY AND DIFFERENCE II

**Difference**: (expected) productive firms get to use more leverage in BigTech

$$egin{array}{rcl} k & \leq & \displaystylerac{1}{1-\lambda_B}a \ k & \leq & \displaystylerac{1}{1+\lambda_F\left[rac{r+\delta}{lpha}-\zeta \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z
ight]
ight]}a \end{array}$$

asymmetric wealth growth rate for firms with different (expected) productivity

#### Key Mechanism: Convexity + Uncertainty



#### PARAMETRIZATION

Parameter	Description	Value	Source/Reference
ρ	rate of time preference	0.05	
$\alpha$	capital share	0.33	Moll (2014)
$\mathcal{L}$	labor market size	1.0	
δ	capital depreciation rate	0.06	BEA-FAT
$\gamma$	algorithm bias	0.4	match the default probability
S	size of BigTech	1.0	
$\overline{\mu}$	log idiosyncratic productivity mean	0.0	
$\theta$	autocorrelation $e^{-\theta}$	0.16 (corr = 0.85)	Asker, Collard-Wexler and Loecker (2014)
$\sigma$	log idiosyncratic productivity s.d.	0.56	

1. steady state analysis

2. business cycles with M.I.T shocks

3. extensions

#### **EFFICIENCY-INSTABILITY TRADE-OFF**



**•** BigTech cannot fully replace the role of traditional banks

#### **EFFICIENCY-INSTABILITY TRADE-OFF**



#### **•** BigTech cannot fully replace the role of traditional banks

#### BUSINESS CYCLES: FIRST-MOMENT SHOCKS



#### BUSINESS CYCLES: SECOND-MOMENT SHOCKS



BigTech lending is sensitive to uncertainty shocks

#### Amplification and Persistence



#### AMPLIFICATION AND PERSISTENCE



Fig. 1

#### Figure. Kiyotaki and Moore (1997)

# ON FINANCIAL ACCELERATOR MECHANISM

- Different from the classical one (e.g., Kiyotaki and Moore, 1997; Bernanke and Gertler, 1989) in three aspects
  - primitive shock: micro uncertainty instead of aggregate productivity
  - **financial friction**: earnings-based borrowing constraint instead of collateral-based borrowing constraint
  - feedback loops: between net worth inequality, instead of net worth level, and asset prices

# ON FINANCIAL ACCELERATOR MECHANISM

- Different from the classical one (e.g., Kiyotaki and Moore, 1997; Bernanke and Gertler, 1989) in three aspects
  - primitive shock: micro uncertainty instead of aggregate productivity
  - **financial friction**: earnings-based borrowing constraint instead of collateral-based borrowing constraint
  - feedback loops: between net worth inequality, instead of net worth level, and asset prices

Macroeconomics of BigTech: a different financial accelerator mechanism

#### **EXTENSIONS**

- Algorithm Bias:  $\gamma \neq 0$
- **• Optimal BigTech Development:**  $S \neq 1$

A

$$\mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{S}\right) = \mathcal{Z}^{\iota}\left(\mathcal{S}\right) - \eta \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{S}\right)$$

**Risky Bond**:

$$qb' = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbf{1}_{V' \ge 0}b' + \mathbf{1}_{V' < 0}\left(\chi_k k + \chi_\pi \pi\right)\right\}}{1 + r}$$

Non-M.I.T. Shocks:

$$dz = \frac{1}{\theta} (\bar{\mu} - z) dt + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{\theta}} d\mathcal{W}$$
  

$$\bar{\mu} \in \{\bar{\mu}_L, \bar{\mu}_H\}, \text{ where } Pr(\bar{\mu}' = \bar{\mu}_l | \bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}_k) = \zeta_{kl}$$
  

$$\sigma \in \{\sigma_L, \sigma_H\}, \text{ where } Pr(\sigma' = \sigma_l | \sigma = \sigma_k) = \chi_{kl}$$
  
Igorithm Bias • Optimality • Risky Debt • Non-MIT Shock

#### CONCLUSION

- **Research question**: introduce BigTech into the existing macro-finance literature
- Key take-aways: BigTech v.s. Bank as two types of borrowing constraints
  - efficiency-instability trade-off
  - a different financial accelerator
- **Extensions**:
  - algorithm bias
  - optimal BigTech development

#### **REFERENCES I**

- Achdou, Yves, Jiequn Han, Jean-Michel Lasry, Pierre-Louis Lions, and Benjamin Moll. 2022. "Income and Wealth Distribution in Macroeconomics: A Continuous-Time Approach." *Review of Economic Studies*, 89(1): 45–86.
- Asker, John, Allan Collard-Wexler, and Jan De Loecker. 2014. "Dynamic Inputs and Resource (Mis)Allocation." Journal of Political Economy, 122(5): 1013–1063.
- Beck, Thorsten, Robin Döttling, Thomas Lambert, and Mathijs A. Van Dijk. 2022. "Liquidity Creation, Investment, and Growth." *Journal of Economic Growth*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-022-09217-1.
- Bernanke, Ben, and Mark Gertler. 1989. "Agency Costs, Net Worth, and Business Fluctuations." American Economic Review, 79(1): 14–31.
- Bordalo, Pedro, Nicola Gennaioli, and Andrei Shleifer. 2018. "Diagnostic Expectations and Credit Cycles." *Journal of Finance*, 73: 199–227.
- Brumm, Johannes, and Simon Scheidegger. 2017. "Using Adaptive Sparse Grids to Solve High-Dimensional Dynamic Models." *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 85(5): 1575–1612.
- Brunnermeier, Markus K., and Yuliy Sannikov. 2014. "A Macroeconomic Model with a Financial Sector." American Economic Review, 104(2): 379–421.
- Caselli, Francesco, and Nicola Gennaioli. 2013. "Dynastic Management." Economic Inquiry, 51(1): 971–996.
- **Chen, Hui, Antoine Didisheim, and Simon Scheidegger.** 2021. "Deep Structural Estimation: With an Application to Option Pricing."
- **Cornelli, Giulio, Jon Frost, Leonardo Gambacorta, Raghavendra Rau, Robert Wardrop, and Tania Ziegler.** 2023. "Fintech and Big Tech Credit: Drivers of the Growth of Digital Lending." *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 148: 106742.
- **Di Tella, Sebastian.** 2017. "Uncertainty Shocks and Balance Sheet Recessions." *Journal of Political Economy*, 125(6): 2038–2081.

#### **REFERENCES II**

- Drechsel, Thomas. 2023. "Earnings-Based Borrowing Constraints and Macroeconomic Fluctuations." American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 15: 1–34.
- **Drechsel, Thomas, and Seho Kim.** 2022. "Macroprudential Policy with Earnings-Based Borrowing Constraints." Unpublished working paper.
- Fernandez-Villaverde, Jesus, Galo Nuno, George Sorg-Langhans, and Maximilian Vogler. 2020. "Solving High-Dimensional Dynamic Programming Problems using Deep Learning." Unpublished working paper.
- Fernandez-Villaverde, Jesus, Samuel Hurtado, and Galo Nuno. 2019. "Financial Frictions and the Wealth Distribution." NBER Working Paper No. 26302.
- Fuster, Andreas, Matthew Plosser, Philipp Schnabl, and James Vickery. 2019. "The Role of Technology in Mortgage Lending." *Review of Financial Studies*, 32: 1854–1899.
- Gambacorta, Leonardo, Yiping Huang, Han Qiu, and Jingyi Wang. 2019. "How do Machine Learning and Non-traditional Data Affect Credit Scoring? New Evidence from a Chinese Fintech Firm." BIS Working Paper No. 834.
- Gambacorta, Leonardo, Yiping Huang, Zhenhua Li, Han Qiu, and Shu Chen. 2023. "Data versus Collateral." *Review of Finance*, 27(2): 369–398.
- Greenwald, Daniel. 2019. "Firm Debt Covenants and the Macroeconomy: The Interest Coverage Channel." MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 5909-19.
- Han, Jiequn, and Weinan E. 2016. "Deep Learning Approximation for Stochastic Control Problems." NIPS workshop.
- Hau, Harald, Yi Huang, Hongzhe Shan, and Zixia Sheng. 2018. "FinTech Credit and Entrepreneurial Growth." Unpublished Working Paper.
- **He, Zhiguo, and Arvind Krishnamurthy.** 2013. "Intermediary Asset Pricing." *American Economic Review*, 103(2): 732–770. **Huang, Jing.** 2022. "Fintech Expansion." Unpublished working paper.

#### **REFERENCES III**

- King, Michael R. 2019. "The Competitive Threat from TechFins and BigTech in Financial Services." The Technological Revolution in Financial Services.
- Kiyotaki, Nobuhiro, and John Moore. 1997. "Credit Cycles." Journal of Political Economy, 105(2): 211-248.
- Lian, Chen, and Yueran Ma. 2021. "Anatomy of Corporate Borrowing Constraints." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 136: 229–291.
- Liu, Lei, Guangli Lu, and Wei Xiong. 2022. "The Big Tech Lending Model." NBER Working Paper No. 30160.
- Manea, Cristina, Fiorella De Fiore, and Leonardo Gambarcorta. 2023. "Big Techs and the Credit Channel of Monetary Policy Transmission." BIS Working Papers No. 1088.
- Moll, Benjamin. 2014. "Productivity Losses from Financial Frictions: Can Self-Financing Undo Capital Misallocation?" American Economic Review, 104(10): 3186–3221.
- Raissi, M., P. Perdikaris, and G. E. Karniadakis. 2019. "Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations." *Journal of Computational Physics*, 378(1): 686–707.
- Stulz, Rene M. 2019. "FinTech, BigTech, and the Future of Banks." Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 31(4): 86–97.
- Tang, Huan. 2019. "Peer-to-Peer Lenders versus Banks: Substitutes or Complements?" *Review of Financial Studies*, 32(5): 1900–1938.

#### OPTIMAL POLICY FUNCTIONS (BACK)

**•** Banking sector

$$k^{B}\left(a,z,\tilde{z}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{1-\lambda_{B}} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right] \geq \tilde{\underline{z}}\\ 0 & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[z\right] < \tilde{\underline{z}} \end{cases}$$

$$k^{F}(a, z, \tilde{z}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{F}\left(\frac{r+\delta}{\alpha} - \zeta \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z]\right)} a & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z] \geq \tilde{\underline{z}} \\ 0 & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z] < \tilde{\underline{z}} \end{cases}$$

where  $\underline{\tilde{z}} = \left(\frac{r+\delta}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{w}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha}$ 

#### WEALTH DYNAMICS (BACK)

$$da_{B} = \left\{ 1_{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z] \geq \tilde{z}} \times \left[ \frac{\zeta z - \frac{r+\delta}{\alpha}}{1 - \lambda_{B}} + r - \rho \right] + 1_{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z] < \tilde{z}} \times (r - \rho) \right\} a_{B} dt$$
  
$$da_{F} = \left\{ 1_{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z] \geq \tilde{z}} \times \left[ \frac{\zeta z - \frac{r+\delta}{\alpha}}{1 + \lambda_{F} \left[ \frac{r+\delta}{\alpha} - \zeta \left( \frac{1}{\theta} - \gamma \right) \bar{\mu} - \frac{\zeta(\theta - 1 + \theta\gamma)}{\theta} \tilde{z} \right]} + r - \rho \right] + 1_{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z] < \tilde{z}} \times (r - \rho) \right\} a_{F} dt$$

#### Low productivity firms

- constant net worth growth rate
- low-productivity entrepreneurs do not operate and lend all their net worth to good firms

#### High productivity firms

- net worth growth rate is higher than its actual productivity: leverage effect
- BigTech: most-productive firm's net worth grows even faster

#### JOINT DISTRIBUTION DYNAMICS (BACK)

$$\frac{\partial \omega^{j}(t,a,z,\tilde{z})}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \left[\Gamma^{j}(t,z,\tilde{z}) a \omega^{j}(t,a,z,\tilde{z})\right]}{\partial a} - \frac{\partial \left[\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\overline{\mu}-z\right) \omega^{j}\left(t,a,z,\tilde{z}\right)\right]}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \left[\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\overline{\mu}-\tilde{z}\right) \omega^{j}\left(t,a,z,\tilde{z}\right)\right]}{\partial \tilde{z}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\theta} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[\omega^{j}\left(t,a,z,\tilde{z}\right)\right]}{\partial \tilde{z}^{2}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\theta} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[\omega^{j}\left(t,a,z,\tilde{z}\right)\right]}{\partial z^{2}} \text{ where } j \in \{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{F}\}$$

- X wealth share approach: Caselli and Gennaioli (2013); Moll (2014); ...
- X (adaptive) sparse grid approach: Brumm and Scheidegger (2017); ...
- ✓ **deep learning approach**: Han and E (2016); Raissi, Perdikaris and Karniadakis (2019); Fernandez-Villaverde et al. (2020); Chen, Didisheim and Scheidegger (2021); ...

#### EXTENSION: ALGORITHM BIAS (BACK)

► fragile booms



BIGTECH: OVERBORROWING AND FINANCIAL INSTABILITY (BACK)

#### overlending issues





BIGTECH: OVERBORROWING AND FINANCIAL INSTABILITY



#### overlending issues



Financial markets are *less* efficient in booms than in recessions:

- Minsky's financial instability hypothesis: economic prosperity encourages borrowers and lender to be reckless
- Greenspan/Shiller's irrational exuberance: overheated economy generates bubbles

#### EXTENSION: OPTIMAL BIGTECH DEVELOPMENT (BACK)

assume that the government cares about both efficiency and financial stability

$$\mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{S}\right) = \mathcal{Z}^{\iota}\left(\mathcal{S}\right) - \eta \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{S}\right)$$



#### EXTENSION: RISKY BOND •BACK



#### EXTENSION: NON-MIT SHOCKS (BACK)

